

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/897,972	07/05/2001	Sung Bum Cho	P-200 2470		
34610 7	590 10/06/2005		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			KNOWLIN, THJUAN P		
P.O. BOX 2212	200			•	
CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2642		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	77.	Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
			72	CHO, SUNG BUM				
Office Action Summary		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Thjuan P	Knowlin	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHI( - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- cation. ory period will apply and v , by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION yent, however, may a reply be time yill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONET	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	☐ This action is rallowance except	for formal matters, pro		ments is			
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) □ Claim(s) 1-5,7-9,11-18 and 20-24 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) □ Claim(s) 1-5,7-9,11-18 and 20-24 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicati	on Papers		-					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 July 2001</u> is/s Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a)⊠ accepte n to the drawing(s) l e correction is requir	be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) 🗖 Interest	(PTO 440)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	D/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/897,972 Page 2

Art Unit: 2642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on June 29, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1, 9, 15, and 22 have been amended. Claims 6, 10, and 19 have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-18, and 20-24 are now pending in this application, with claims 1, 9, 15, and 22 being independent.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Svennesson et al (US 6,005,845), in view of McConnell et al (US 6,373,930).
- 3. In regards to claims 1, 7, 9, 11, 12, 15, 20, 22, 23, and 24, Svennesson discloses a method and system of providing a conference call supplemental service in an intelligent network (See col. 10 lines 11-21 and col. 11 lines 25-38), comprising: analyzing a call and driving a conference calling service logic program at a Service Control Point (See Fig. 2, Fig. 9, SCP 201, and SCP 901) of a communication network (See col. 6 lines 1-20); performing an intelligent network basic call processing function

Art Unit: 2642

at a Service Switch Point (See Fig. 2, SSP 204, and SSP 205) of the communication network (See col. 7 lines 26-46 and col. 7 lines 55-67); establishing a temporary connection between the SCP and an intelligent peripheral (See col. 6 lines 15-20, col. 6-7 lines 60-2, and col. 12-13 lines 59-2). Svennesson, however, does not disclose setting a direct route between the SSP and the IP; and announcing the service to a subscriber using the direct route between the SSP and IP without using the SCP to announce the service, and collecting and processing subscriber information, wherein setting the direct route between the SSP and the IP comprises sending an initial address message from a Service Switch Function (SSF) of the SSP to a Specialized Resource Function (SRF) of the IP, and sending an address complete message or an answer message from the SRF to the SSF for transmitting the announcement of the service to the subscriber. McConnell, however, does disclose setting a direct route (See Fig. 1) between the SSP (See Fig. 1 and SSP 16) and the IP (See Fig. 1, Fig. 4, IP 36, and IP 120); and announcing the service (e.g. available account balance) to a subscriber using the direct route between the SSP and IP without using the SCP to announce the service, and collecting and processing subscriber information, wherein setting the direct route between the SSP and the IP comprises sending an initial address message from a Service Switch Function (SSF) of the SSP to a Specialized Resource Function (SRF) of the IP, and sending an address complete message or an answer message from the SRF to the SSF for transmitting the announcement of the service to the subscriber (See col. 9 lines 11-28 and col. 19 lines 28-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Page 4

employ these features within the method and system, as a way of providing services to a subscriber through a direct route between the SSP and IP, therefore, not requiring the SCP to announce the service. These features would provide voice functions using an intelligent peripheral that is specific to the intelligent network.

- 4. In regards to claims 5 and 18, Svennesson discloses the method and system, wherein establishing the temporary connection between the SCP and IP comprises sending a request message from a Service Control Function of the SCP to a Service Switch Function of the SSP (See col. 6 lines 15-20, col. 6-7 lines 60-2, col. 7 lines 55-67, and col. 12-13 lines 59-2).
- 5. In regards to claim 17, Svennesson discloses the method and system, wherein setting the route between the SSP and the IP comprises sending an initial address message from a Service Switch Function of the SSP to a Specialized Resource Function of the IP, and sending an address complete message from the SRF to the SSF (See col. 8 lines 18-40).
- 6. Claims 2, 3, 4, 8, 13, 14, 16, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Svennesson et al (US 6,005,845) and McConnell et al (US 6,373,930), in view of Eaton et al (US 5,483,588).
- 7. Svennesson and McConnell disclose all of claims 2, 3, 4, and 16 limitations. except the method and system, further comprising dialing a service code by a requesting subscriber, translating the dialed code by an originating station, and routing the code to a Service Switch Function of the SSP with numbers of subscribers who will

Application/Control Number: 09/897,972

Art Unit: 2642

participate in the conference call to initiate the conference calling service. Eaton, however, discloses the method and system, further comprising dialing a service code by a requesting subscriber, translating the dialed code by an originating station, and routing the code to a Service Switch Function of the SSP with numbers of subscribers who will participate in the conference call to initiate the conference calling service (See col. 8 lines 39-56, col. 9 lines 28-42, and col. 10 lines 17-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate dialing a service code by a requesting subscriber, into the system, as a way of verifying the authorization of a requesting subscriber and using that authorization to establish a conference calling service.

Page 5

8. Svennesson and McConnell disclose all of claims 8, 13, 14, and 21 limitations, except the method and system, further comprising: instructing a Specialized Resource Function of the IP to record the conference call by delivering a play and receive message from a Service Control Function of the SCP to the SRF; recording the conference call by the SRF; sending the record of the conference call from the SRF to the SCF; and storing the received record at the SRF. Eaton, however, discloses the method and system, further comprising; instructing a system to record the conference call by delivering a play and receive message; recording the conference call; sending the record of the conference call; and storing the received record (col. 15 lines 54-65).

### Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/897,972 Page 6

Art Unit: 2642

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-18, and 20-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cho et al (US 6,377,673) teach an intelligent peripheral system and call processing method thereof.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan P. Knowlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thjuan P. Knowlin

BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER